


WSN-Projects: Lecture-2

Programming Motes using TinyOS and NesC





Contents



- What is NesC?
- What is TinyOS?
- Why TakaTuka needs TinyOS/NesC?
- Problem with C and NesC solution
- Problem with C in detail
- NesC concepts
 - Component
 - Module
 - Configuration
 - Interface
 - Command
 - Event
 - Split-Phase
 - Task
 - Async Vs Ssyn commands
 - Keywords
- NesC solution in detail
- TinyOS and NesC limitations



What is NesC?

University of Freiburg
Institute of Computer Science
Computer Networks and Telematics
Prof. Christian Schindelhauer




- NesC
 - A superset of C
 - One may generate an intermediate C file from a NesC project
 - Main feature:
 - Separation of declaration and definition



What is TinyOS?

University of Freiburg
Institute of Computer Science
Computer Networks and Telematics
Prof. Christian Schindelhauer



- TinyOS
 - An event-driven operating system
 - Developed using NesC



Why TakaTuka needs TinyOS/NesC?


University of Freiburg
Institute of Computer Science
Computer Networks and Telematics
Prof. Christian Schindelhauer



- Support for many types of motes
 - At least 15 Motes types are supported by NesC/TinyOS (source: [SNM](#))
- TakaTuka aim is to support all of those motes
- Idea is
 - Use drivers already developed in NesC
 - Integrate TakaTuka with TinyOS



Problem with C & NesC solution



- C
 - In C *declaration* is depended on *definition* of a function or variable
 - Otherwise, dynamic pointers must be used
- NesC
 - *Declaration* is independent from *definition*




Problem with C in detail



- A source file may have variable/function (say *var-fun*)
 - Declaration
 - Definition
 - Reference
- Declaration
 - Gives type information and tells that a var-fun exists
- Definition
 - Actually defines a var-fun (e.g. implementation of a function)
- Reference
 - Use a var-fun (e.g. `int i = foo(5);`)



Problem with C in detail



```
//fooImpl.c
#include "foobar.h"

void foo() { //definition of foo function
    foobar(); //reference of foobar
}
```

```
//barImpl.c
#include "foobar.h"

void bar() { //definition of bar function
    foobar(); //reference of foobar
}
```

```
//foobarImpl.c
#include "foobar.h"


void foobar() { //definition of foobar function
    ...
}
```

```
//foobar.h

void foobar(); //declaration of foobar function
```




Problem with C in detail




- Problem
 - Two source files referring a same function become inter-dependent
 - Because declaration corresponds to a single definition
 - Cannot change implementation of *foobar* for *foo* without effecting *bar*.
- Solution
 - Use function pointers
- Draw back
 - Wastage of RAM
 - Unlike PC, RAM on mote only stores run-time information
 - Flash store program and everything else
 - More error prone



NesC Concepts

University of Freiburg
Institute of Computer Science
Computer Networks and Telematics
Prof. Christian Schindelhauer



- Component
 - Module
 - Configuration
- Interface
- Command
- Event
- Split-Phase
- Task
- Sync Vs Async Commands




Components



- NesC is a *component* based C dialect
- A component is similar to Java object
 - It provides encapsulated state and couple state with functionality
- A component is not really a Java object
 - No inheritance and usually Singleton
 - Components have only private variables
 - Only function could be use to pass the variables between components
- Two types of components
 - Modules
 - Configuration



Module & Interface



- Module has the implementation of functions
- It uses pure local namespace
 - Component has to declare function it *uses* and *provides*
- NesC Interface is very Similar to Java Interface
 - Declaration of functions



NesC Concepts: Module & Interface



```
module fooC {  
    uses interface foobarInterface as fbi;  
}  
implementation {  
    void foo( ) {  
        call fbi.foobar( );  
    }  
}
```

```
module barC {  
    uses interface foobarInterface as foobi;  
}  
implementation {  
    void bar( ) {  
        call foobi.foobar( );  
    }  
}
```


```
interface foobarInterface {  
    command void foobar ( );  
}
```

```
module foobarC {  
    provide interface foobarInterface;  
}  
implementation {  
    command void foobarInterface.foobar( ) {  
        ...  
    }  
}
```

```
configuration foobarAppC {  
}  
implementation {  
    components fooC, barC;  
    fooC.fbi -> foobarC;  
    barC.foobi -> foobarC;  
}
```



Configuration




- Recall: Components have two types
 - Module
 - Configuration
- Configuration
 - Wire components together
 - Has two operations
 - user -> provider (or provider <- user)
 - = (between two providers mostly)
 - Usually use to equate the interface provided by the configuration

```
Configuration ActiveMessageC {  
    provides interface Init;  
    provides interface SplitControl;  
}  
Implementation {  
    components CC240ActiveMessageC as AM;  
    Init = AM;  
    SplitControl = AM;  
}
```



Split-Phase



- TinyOS has no threading
 - Thread take memory
 - Each has a separate stack
 - Thread is block then no one is using it stack memory
- When you need threading?
 - For the functions that involves busy waiting (e.g. Sending a packet)
- Function required threading are implemented in Split-phase
 - Two phase
 - Downcall : **Command** – start the operation
 - Upcall : **Event** – operation has been completed



Command & Event




- Command are implemented by provider of interface
- Events are implemented by user of interface
- Example

```
interface Send {  
    command error_t send(message_t* msg, uint8_t len);  
    event void sendDone(message_t* msg, error_t error);  
}
```

```
module SendC {  
    uses interface Send;  
    uses interface Boot;  
}  
Implementation {  
    event void Boot.booted() {  
        Send.send(NULL, 0);  
    }  
  
    event void sendDone(message_t* msg, error_t error) {  
        //do nothing  
    }  
}
```




Task



- Task
 - Are deferred procedure call
 - Event are usually *signaled by posting* a task
 - Task are **strictly local** to a module
 - No parameters
 - No return type
 - No defined in any interface
 - Each task is **non-preemptive** and atomic with respect to other tasks
 - One task runs at any time
 - A task can post itself



Async Vs Sync command



- Async are preemptable commands
- Unlike task Async commands are not atomic with respect to other commands
- Async command cannot call a Sync command
 - Can call other Async commands
 - Can post task which may call a Sync command
- Sync commands calls are blocking like normal function call



Keywords



```
module FilterMagC {
  provides interface StdControl;
  provides interface Read<uint16_t>;
  uses interface Timer<TMilli>;
  uses interface Read<uint16_t> as RawRead;
}

implementation {
  uint16_t filterVal = 0;
  uint16_t lastVal = 0;
  task void readDoneTask();
  command error_t StdControl.start() {
    return call Timer.startPeriodic(10);
  }
  command error_t StdControl.stop() {
    return call Timer.stop();
  }
  event void Timer.fired() {
    call RawRead.read();
  }
  event void RawRead.readDone(error_t err, uint16_t val) {
    if (err == SUCCESS) {
      lastVal = val;
      filterVal *= 9;
      filterVal /= 10;
      filterVal += lastVal / 10;
    }
  }
  command error_t Read.read() {
    post readDoneTask();
    return SUCCESS;
  }
  task void readDoneTask() {
    signal Read.readDone(SUCCESS, filterVal);
  }
}
```

27.10.2008

Listing 4.15: (Philip Levis, "TinyOS Programming", 2006)



NesC solution in detail



- Unlike C each NesC function had a unique local name
 - Component A calls command B then A\$B is the name of such call
- NesC component defines what it *uses* and *provides*
- A user is *wired* to a *provides* during compilation times (instead of linking) based on configuration
 - NesC has static linking
- Advantages of static linking
 - Better optimize codes by compiler
 - Less error prone
- Disadvantages
 - Less flexible
 - Configurations become cumbersome as the project grows



TinyOS and NesC limitations

University of Freiburg
Institute of Computer Science
Computer Networks and Telematics
Prof. Christian Schindelhauer




- NesC is a low-level languages
 - Have many disadvantages inherited from C
 - No automatic garbage collection
 - Memory leaks
 - No portability once code is compiled
- It is not object oriented languages
 - Limited design patterns application
- Configurations are difficult to change for a big program



TinyOS and NesC limitations

University of Freiburg
Institute of Computer Science
Computer Networks and Telematics
Prof. Christian Schindelhauer




- Thread Vs event driven
 - TinyOS is event-driven and not a thread base OS
 - Threads have better response time
 - Event drive OS has less memory requirements
 - Event driven model drawbacks:
 - requires need manual configuration
 - Manual state handling
 - Difficult to change code without changing already written state handlers
- All Events have to be implemented by a user of an interface
 - Even if user of a interface is not interested in many of them



References

University of Freiburg
Institute of Computer Science
Computer Networks and Telematics
Prof. Christian Schindelhauer



- Philip Levis, “*TinyOS Programming*”, 2006
- Kim et al, “*Multithreading Optimization Techniques for Sensor Network Operating Systems*”, EWSN 2007



The End

University of Freiburg
Institute of Computer Science
Computer Networks and Telematics
Prof. Christian Schindelhauer



- Thank you for listening